Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 03/08/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 13:

Ah’yanna Hart, Collin James, Bella Sheprow

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **73** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **98**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a satisfactory job on your sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (03/08/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 1.5 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1.5 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 13 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 0 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 6 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 73 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 13 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 98 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report you included looks professional, but see the following issues:
  + On the title, please leave off Sprint # Review
  + Look at the Sprint 6 Grading Sheet to see what your TOC should be
  + Use a sans-serif font like Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri—Calibri is preferred
  + Use your Section Titles as your section headers—you just start with Executive summary . . .
  + Do not leave previous diagrams in the document that you updated. The cases and first CD should be removed and replaced with the current versions
  + FOLLOW the TOC in the layout of the items in the document
  + Problem analysis is too short—improve it
  + LOOK at the examples on Canvas to see how some teams’ layout looks of their report
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* Your non-functional requirements were good, but please put headings in so we know where the Operational, Performance, Security, and Cultural and Political are without digging through the prose
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* What is your new documentation? Section 2 executive summary would have been a good choice, but you have not included any new documentation
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are good, but there are no user requirements for the system listed so how do you have a PSPI?
    - See comment below about backlogs
    - You need to start just showing items 30 onward in all future sprints
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation is good, but please include the following:
    - Any other items that would be of interest to management (professor)—even if all you put is “None”
* The Project plan is okay—It needs to show all sprints, not just the current sprint
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The non-functional requirements are good
* On your CD:
  + Email cannot send dataflows, it can only receive dataflows since you do not own the Email service—you have no control over the Email service, you can only hope it will actually send the emails
  + There is no Process 0 which is probably the dashboard
  + The entities should be squared cornered rectangles
  + Does the system not send dataflows back to the Owner and Client?
* Your UCD looks great!
* All sprint items were good except:
  + The product backlog has no user requirements for the system listed—please start showing just items 30 onward
  + Sprint 2 backlog has items that are not requirements—items that belong in the presentation do not go one the backlog and the report items are already listed from 1-29—Discussions with the client and such do no belong in the backlog
  + Burndown chart is good
* How do you have a PSPI without user requirements for the system listed on your backlogs?
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.72/4 = 68%. You can see I gave you a 98%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.